

1995

Operator bias with optical wedges in point sampling

Jerome K. Vanclay
Southern Cross University

Publication details

Pre-print of Vanclay, JK 1995, 'Operator bias with optical wedges in point sampling', *Commonwealth Forestry Review*, vol. 74, p. 158. The abstract and pre-print of the published article reproduced in ePublications@SCU with the permission of Commonwealth Forestry Review

ePublications@SCU is an electronic repository administered by Southern Cross University Library. Its goal is to capture and preserve the intellectual output of Southern Cross University authors and researchers, and to increase visibility and impact through open access to researchers around the world. For further information please contact epubs@scu.edu.au.

Preprint of:

Vanclay, J.K., 1995. Operator bias with optical wedges in point sampling. *Commonwealth Forestry Review* 74:158.

Operator bias with Optical Wedges in Point Sampling

Point sampling, or sampling with probability proportional to size (PPS), is an efficient technique often used in timber cruising, but care must be taken to avoid operator bias. Several types of angle gauge (e.g., relascope, thumb, etc) require that the operator's eye is at the plot centre (Avery and Burkhardt, 1994).

However, when using an optical wedge or prism, the angle is subtended at the prism, not the eye, and the prism should form the central point (the operator should rotate about the prism). If the prism is held at an arm's length while the operator rotates on the ball of his foot, substantial bias may result. It is instructive to calculate this bias.

PPS samples a circular area πR^2 , where R is the critical distance ($R=D/2\sqrt{BAF}$) for a tree of D cm diameter and a basal area factor of BAF m^2/ha . But incorrect technique may lead to a doughnut-shaped area:

$$\pi(R+k)^2 - \pi k^2 = \pi R(R+2k) \quad 1$$

where k is the radius from the central point to the prism (i.e., length of operators arm). Thus the bias (Actual/Expected -1) will be

$$\frac{\pi R(R+2k)}{\pi R^2} - 1 = \frac{2k}{R} = \frac{4k\sqrt{BAF}}{D} \quad 2$$

The bias is always positive (since the area sampled is bigger than the usual formula assumes), and depends on tree size. It may be negligible if only trees of commercial sizes are considered, but bias may be considerable if smaller trees are tallied. The bias affects both basal area and stocking estimates, and improper use will inflate estimates of regeneration or advance growth.

In many instances of improper use, the prism may be held at arm's length ($k \approx 0.5m$) and the bias may be $2\sqrt{BAF}/D$. If the BAF is small ($\leq 2m^2/ha$) and minimum size for sampling is large ($\geq 40cm$ dbh), then the bias may be negligible, especially if the distance to border-line trees is measured. However, when inventories use large BAFs and sample small trees, this may lead to considerable bias. Inventories in the tropics commonly use $BAF=10 m^2/ha$, and may sample trees as small as 3 cm dbh, and this may lead to overestimates of 200% in the smallest size class.

If you use an optical wedge in point sampling, take care that the wedge forms the central point, and measure distances to borderline trees. It is good practice to cut a stick to mark the central point, to hold the optical wedge on the top of the stick, and to walk around the stick when determining trees to be sampled.

REFERENCE

AVERY, T.E. and BURKHART, H.E. 1994. Forest measurements, 4th edition. McGraw-Hill, N.Y. 408 p.