

2006

# Using palaeobotanical techniques to guide peatland restoration. A case study from Byron Bay, Australia

Kathryn H. Taffs  
*Southern Cross University*

Jeffrey F. Parr  
*Southern Cross University*

Keith G. Bolton  
*Southern Cross University*

---

## Publication details

Post-print of: Taffs, KH, Parr, JF & Bolton, KG 2006, 'Using palaeobotanical techniques to guide peatland restoration. A case study from Byron Bay, Australia', *Ecological Management and Restoration*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 133-135.

Publisher's version of article available at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-8903.2006.280\\_1.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-8903.2006.280_1.x)

ePublications@SCU is an electronic repository administered by Southern Cross University Library. Its goal is to capture and preserve the intellectual output of Southern Cross University authors and researchers, and to increase visibility and impact through open access to researchers around the world. For further information please contact [epubs@scu.edu.au](mailto:epubs@scu.edu.au).

**Taffs, K.H.**, Parr, J.F. and Bolton, K. (2006). Using Palaeobotanical techniques to guide peatland restoration. A case study from Byron Bay, Australia. Ecological Management and Restoration, 7(2): 132-135.

## **Using Palaeobotany to resolve ecological disasters in East Australian peatlands**

**Taffs, K.H.**<sup>1\*</sup>, **Parr, J.F.**<sup>1</sup> and **Bolton, K.G.E.**<sup>2</sup>

1 Centre for Geoarchaeology and Palaeoenvironmental Research, 2 Centre for Acid Sulfate Soil Research. School of Environmental Science and Management, Southern Cross University, PO Box 157, Lismore, NSW 2480.

**Key words:** peat, restoration, palaeoecology, pollen, phytoliths

\*Corresponding author

Kathryn Taffs

Centre for Geoarchaeology and Palaeoenvironmental Research

School of Environmental Science and Management

Southern Cross University

PO Box 157 Lismore, NSW 2480, Australia.

Phone 61 2 66203045

Fax 61 2 66212669

Email [ktaffs@scu.edu.au](mailto:ktaffs@scu.edu.au)

## **Introduction**

Low lying coastal peat-lands were once common in the inter-dune areas of Byron Bay, New South Wales. While small areas remain, the majority have been cleared and drained for agricultural and urban use during the past century. One of these areas of peat-land is currently undergoing review for restoration. This provided an opportunity to investigate the vegetation history of the site to set remediation targets for the restoration project.

Peat-lands are valuable ecosystems, highly vulnerable to degradation by a range of activities (Gorham and Rochefort 2003; O'Connell 2003; Rochefort *et al.* 2003; Vasander *et al.* 2003). In Australia peat-lands are an unusual and infrequent component of the landscape (Whinman *et al.* 2003). Mostly distributed in the south east, in the alpine areas of New South Wales, Victoria and Tasmania, they usually occur between 600 and 1000 metres in altitude (Clarke and Martin 1999). However, areas of peat also occur in the coastal lowlands, often in dune swales, both on the east coast of Australia and the south west coast of Western Australia (Whinman *et al.* 2003). The vegetation on these sedgeland deposits is usually dominated by Restionaceae and Cyperaceae species rather than Sphagnum and are sometimes forested (Whinman *et al.* 2003).

In recent years, public awareness of peat-lands has increased and much effort has been aimed at the restoration of peat-land structure and function (Vasander *et al.* 2003, Price *et al.* 2003). Forested peat-lands are some of the most urgent sites to be restored as these were the first to be cleared for their agricultural value, and few areas remain (Vasander *et al.* 2003). The major impediment to expedite restoration of peat-lands in Australia is a lack of knowledge of restoration techniques appropriate to the environmental conditions (Whinman *et al.* 2003). Historical conditions need to be examined to understand how a wetland once functioned naturally and thus how it might best be restored (Steedman *et al.* 1996). Although some degraded sites can be restored naturally if given enough time, severely degraded sites need human design and intervention to restore their condition and functionality.

Palaeoecological records can provide valuable baseline data regarding the physical and biological characteristics of a peat-land prior to human impact, and can also serve to provide information on the natural variability of these characteristics over long periods of

time (Kowalski and Wilcox 1999). Palaeoecology has been used overseas to set remediation targets for peat land restoration (Lavoie *et al.* 2001, Girard *et al.* 2002) but it is an under-utilised tool (Gorham and Rochefort 2003), particularly in Australia (Martin 1999). Palaeoecology can supply a detailed reconstruction for a site, and is a valuable tool for clearly establishing the goals of a restoration program (Lavoie *et al.* 2001).

This project investigated the vegetation composition that contributed to a peat layer in Byron Bay, using palaeobotanical techniques and makes suggestions to assist formulation of the restoration plan for the Byron Bay site. The major aims of this study were to: establish a chronology for the wetland sediments; detect any major temporal changes in vegetation types; and identify species that have played a key role in the accumulation of peat at this site.

### **Site description**

The Byron Bay peat-lands now have an extensive drainage network to keep water tables artificially low, resulting in pyrite oxidation and the episodic discharge of damaging acid products into the local waterway, the Belongil estuary. The estuary frequently runs red with iron floccules from acid sulphate discharge and causes fish kills. In response to these pressing problems, Byron Shire Council and the Centre for Acid Sulfate Soil Research at Southern Cross University, are developing a remediation strategy (Bolton 2001). The strategy incorporates effluent reuse with acid sulfate soil management, peat restoration and carbon credits.

The regeneration of the 24 ha peat wetlands area will involve planting suitable vegetation types as bioremediators that will be irrigated with tertiary treated effluent receiving up to 7 ML of effluent per day. The effluent is used to manage acid sulfate soils in two ways: (i) the alkaline effluent (pH  $\approx$  8.0) buffers existing acid products, increasing the pH of the groundwater and; (ii) the effluent maintains water levels above the pyrite layer, preventing oxidation, and the subsequent production of acid products. Furthermore, effluent will provide water and nutrients for the regenerating wetland vegetation ensuring optimal conditions for their growth. This study was conducted to examine the vegetation history of the site, to set restoration goals and examine the feasibility of the existing plans

for remediation. It is necessary to understand the vegetation history of the site to select suitable species to plant for the successful regeneration of the wetlands.

## **Methods**

Two sediment cores were extracted from the Byron Bay peat-lands using a Vibracorer. The first core (B1) was 1.75m and was extracted from the peat sediments in the north eastern area of the site. The second core (B2) was retrieved from the southern plot area and was 1.65 m. Using scalpels, sediments from both cores were divided into 5cm samples for the entire length of the cores. The sampling strategy was to process samples from the upper and lower sections of each sediment horizon to investigate dominant changes in the peat environment over time. Pollen was extracted from one cubic centimetre of sediment using the method described in Cotter and Boyd (1998), excluding the Hydrofluoric Acid treatment that was considered unnecessary due to the low silica content of the sediment. Pollen extracted from each sample was mounted onto microscope slides and scanned at 600x and 1000x magnification on an Olympus BH2 microscope. A total pollen sum of 500 grains was counted per sample. Phytoliths were extracted from sediment using the method of Parr (2002). Phytoliths extracted from each sample were weighed, mounted onto microscope slides and scanned at 400x magnification on an Olympus BH2 microscope. A total of 150 phytoliths was counted for each slide. Absolute numbers of phytolith types were recorded. The presence of specific taxa were also recorded from the phytolith slides to assist in determining temporal changes in habitat and salinity. Charcoal particles were counted according to the method of Clarke (1982). Bulk fossil phytoliths samples from B1 (Laboratory No.s OZH476-81) were processed and carbon dated at the ANSTO AMS dating facility, Sydney.

## **Results**

The two sediment cores correlated closely in stratigraphy and microfossil results, hence only results from core B1 are presented here. The stratigraphy of the B1 sediment core is shown in Figure 1. At the base of the core, marine sands are present. Above this section, dating from around  $8710 \pm 50$  years BP to  $6590 \pm 50$  years BP, there is a layer of fine peat and clay development. A coarser peat layer begins at around  $6590 \pm 50$  years BP and persists until  $6050 \pm 60$  years BP. The next layer is composed of sulphidic clay and

spans from  $5430 \pm 50$  years BP to  $5110 \pm 50$  years BP. From about  $4540 \pm 40$  years BP to the uppermost layer, it is composed entirely of a coarse peat. The peat accumulation rates are very low. Peat within zone 4 has accumulated 0.022 cm per year. Peat in zone 3 has accumulated at 0.048 cm per year and peat in zone 1 at 0.015 cm per year, much lower than at other periods in the development of this sediment profile.

The relative abundance of pollen for each taxa present and dominant vegetation types from core B1 are presented in Figure 1. The dominant peat forming vegetation has been Sphagnum and Cyperaceae. Sphagnum was the dominant peat forming vegetation prior to  $4540 \pm 40$  years BP and Cyperaceae most recently. Dominant tree species have been Casuarinaceae and Myrtaceae. The pollen data indicates that herbaceous taxa have been the dominant vegetation component of this peat environment for the past 8000 years. The shrub and tree component are minor but show significant fluctuations through time. At around  $4540 \pm 40$  years BP tree pollen made a significant recovery, however, this trend does not persist and tree pollen gradually decreased to present levels when herbaceous plants once again become the dominant vegetation type represented.

Phytolith percentages for each taxa present and summaries of vegetation types for sites are also shown in Figure 1. The phytolith record shows a dominance of Myrtaceae in the tree component and Restionaceae and Cyperaceae as the dominant peat forming herbs. At around  $4540 \pm 40$  years BP tree phytolith types made a significant recovery and continue to be strongly represented through to the present. Shrubs are poorly represented during this period, however, herbaceous plants remain the dominant types represented throughout the sediment core profile.

The proportion of fine charcoal particles (Figure 1) shows that around  $8710 \pm 50$  years BP there are low charcoal counts, however, counts significantly increase around  $6590 \pm 50$  years BP. Between  $6050 \pm 60$  years BP and  $5110 \pm 50$  years BP charcoal particle counts are very low. A large increase in charcoal occurs at around  $4540 \pm 40$  years BP and these particle deposits remain relatively high to the top of the core samples. The pH of the site decreases towards the present with zone 1 showing highly acidic conditions.

## **Implications**

The Byron Bay peat-lands are low lying coastal peats that have formed in the interdune swale. Marine sands found in the basal layers of this site indicate that the ocean was in close proximity to it  $8710 \pm 50$  years BP. The peats at this site have thus formed during the past 8000 years. The vegetation history shows that the peats formed below a forested canopy were dominated by Myrtaceae and Casuarinaceae. As indicated by Whinman *et al.* (2003), this type of peat-land is very rare within Australia after its destruction for agricultural and urban land-use, and hence in dire need of restoration for their ecological value.

The pollen and phytolith records show that the peat forming vegetation has been composed of Sphagnum, Cyperaceae and Restionaceae species throughout its history. Prior to 5000 years BP the peat was dominated by Sphagnum and Restionaceae but this changed to Cyperaceae and Restionaceae species in the last 5000 years. Charcoal counts indicate that the area has experienced an increase in fire activities over the past 5000 years, a threat to the peat environment that might account for the disappearance of Sphagnum from this site, and the absence of Restionaceae pollen.

## **Conclusions**

For the restoration of this site, the naturalness of the area was assessed using palaeobotanical techniques. Pollen and phytolith records indicated that this area, under natural conditions, was a forested peat-land. In most recent times it was dominated by Myrtaceae tree species with Cyperaceae and Restionaceae as the dominant peat forming vegetation. To return this area to a state representing pre human impact this vegetation will need to be restored.

The proposed remediation strategy involving irrigation with treated effluent will raise water tables reinstating a more natural hydrology that is more conducive to peat formation and maintenance. The treated effluent will also increase the pH of the site to neutral conditions, also more conducive to return of vegetation. However, the ability of Myrtaceae, Restionaceae and Cyperaceae to grow under high nutrient loads from the treated effluent is currently unknown and warrants further investigation.

## Acknowledgements

Funds for the project were provided by an ARC linkage grant and Byron Shire Council. The AMS dating was made possible by an AINSE scholarship held by Parr. Many thanks to Ken O'Brian of the Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Southern Cross University, and to Mark Rosicky and Nick Ward for their assistance.

## References

- Bolton, K.G.E. 2001. *A feasibility study of effluent reuse for acid sulfate soil management and wetland regeneration at West Byron*. Report prepared for Byron Shire Council and Department of Public Works and Services, Byron Bay, Australia.
- Clarke, P. J. and Martin, A. R. H. (1999). Sphagnum peatlands of Kosciuszko NP in relation to altitude, time and disturbance. *Australian Journal of Botany* **47**, 519-536.
- Clark, R.L. 1982. Point count estimation of charcoal in pollen preparations and thin sections of sediment. *Pollen et Spores* **24**(3-4), 523-535.
- Cotter, M. and Boyd, W.E. 1998. *Fossil pollen extraction for Palaeoenvironmental Reconstruction. A Method Manual*. Southern Cross University, Lismore, Australia.
- Girard, M., Lavoie, C. and Theriult, M. (2002). The regeneration of a highly disturbed ecosystem. A mined peatland in southern Quebec. *Ecosystems* **5**, 274-300.
- Gorham, E. and L. Rochefort (2003). Peatland restoration: A brief assessment with special reference to *Sphagnum* bogs. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* **11**(1 - 2), 109-119.
- Kowalski, K.P. and Wilcox, D.A. (1999). Use of historical and geospatial data to guide the restoration of a Lake Eyrie coastal marsh. *Wetlands* **19**(4), 858-868.
- Lavoie, C., Zimmerman, C. and Pellerin, S. (2001). Peatland restoration in southern Quebec (Canada): a paleoecological perspective. *Geoscience* **8**(2), 247-258.
- Martin, A. R. H. (1999). Pollen analysis of Digger's Creek Bog, Kosciuszko National Park, Vegetation history and tree-line change. *Australian Journal of Botany*, **47**, 725-744.
- O'Connell, M.J. (2003). Detecting, measuring and reversing changes to wetlands. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* **11**, 397-401.
- Parr, J. F. 2002. A comparison of heavy liquid floatation and microwave digestion techniques for the extraction of fossil phytoliths from sediments. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* **120** (3-4), 315-336.
- Price, J.S., Heathwaite, A.L. and Baird, A.J. (2003). Hydrological processes in abandoned and restored peatlands; an overview of management approaches. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* **11**(1-2), 65-83.



.Rocheport, L., Quinty, F., Campeau, S., Johnson, K. and Malterer, T. (2003). North American approach to the restoration of *Sphagnum* dominated peatlands. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* **11**(1 - 2), 3-20.

Steedman, R.J., Whillans, T.H., Behm, A.P., Bray, K.E., Cullis, K.I., Holland, M.M., Stoddart, S.J. and White, R.J. 1996. Use of historical information for conservation and restoration of Great Lakes aquatic habitat. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **53**, 415-423.

Vasander, H., Tuittila, A.S., Lode, E. Lundin, L., Ilomets, M., Sallantaus, T., Heikki, S.R., Pitksnen, M.L. and Laine, J. (2003). Status and restoration of peatlands in northern Europe. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* **11**(1 - 2), 51-63.

Whinam, J., Hope, G.S., Clarkson, B.R., Buxton, R.P., Alspach, P.A. and Adam, P. (2003). *Sphagnum* in peatlands of Australasia: their distribution, utilisation and management. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* **11**(1 - 2), 37-49.




Figure 1: Palaeobotany Results of Byron Bay Peat Core