

2015

# Rotational harvesting is a risky strategy for vulnerable marine animals

Steven W. Purcell  
*Southern Cross University*

Sven Uthicke  
*Australian Institute of Marine Science*

Maria Byrne  
*University of Sydney*

Hampus Eriksson  
*University of Wollongong*

---

## Publication details

Postprint of: Purcell, SW, Uthicke, S, Byrne, M & Eriksson, H 2015, 'Rotational harvesting is a risky strategy for vulnerable marine animals', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 112, no. 46.

Published version available from:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1515074112>

ePublications@SCU is an electronic repository administered by Southern Cross University Library. Its goal is to capture and preserve the intellectual output of Southern Cross University authors and researchers, and to increase visibility and impact through open access to researchers around the world. For further information please contact [epubs@scu.edu.au](mailto:epubs@scu.edu.au).

This is a “post-print” manuscript version. Contact author for journal reprint [steven.purcell@scu.edu.au](mailto:steven.purcell@scu.edu.au)

Purcell, S.W., Uthicke, S., Byrne, M., Eriksson, H. (2015) Rotational harvesting is a risky strategy for vulnerable marine animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112(46): E6263

## Rotational harvesting is a risky strategy for vulnerable marine animals

Steven W. Purcell<sup>a,1</sup>, Sven Uthicke<sup>b</sup>, Maria Byrne<sup>c</sup>, Hampus Eriksson<sup>d,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>National Marine Science Centre, Southern Cross University, Coffs Harbour, NSW 2450, Australia

<sup>b</sup>Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, QLD 4810, Australia

<sup>c</sup>Schools of Medical Sciences and Biological Sciences, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

<sup>d</sup>WorldFish, Penang, Malaysia, and <sup>e</sup>Australian National Centre for Ocean Resources and Security (ANCORS), University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [steven.w.purcell@gmail.com](mailto:steven.w.purcell@gmail.com)

Sea cucumber fisheries exemplify resource systems under intense exploitation pressure from lucrative Asian markets. Plagányi et al. (1) model the performance of rotational harvests of sea cucumbers on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) and advocate it globally. We support their aim to evaluate management models but believe the tenets of the strategy are flawed, key model inputs bias the outputs, and inferences to other coastal fisheries are over-reaching. These shortcomings set a risky approach for managing coastal resources, especially those with vulnerable life-history traits such as sea cucumbers (2).

Rotational harvesting is an agricultural concept and the analogy for wild fisheries is off target. Marine animals are neither seeded nor have predictable production times nor grown in controlled conditions, and are subject to natural reproductive limitations and variability. Crop rotations either follow the soil or vary cultured species, neither of which is applicable. The cited successful examples (fish, trochus) involve *periodic* (intermittent, undefined), not rotational (cyclical, defined), closures, and those species have life-history traits far more robust than sea cucumbers (2).

The authors model a multi-species fishery on the GBR concluding that the rotational zoning scheme (RZS) led to improvement in performance indicators. However, catches of white teatfish and prickly redfish, both threatened species (2), have declined under the present RZS in the fishery. The authors draw support from a Canadian sea cucumber fishery, yet recent surveys of fishers indicate declining stocks under that RZS (3).

Flawed assumptions and data inputs of the RZS model result in overly-short rotational cycles, which overestimate the resilience (ability of populations to recover from fishing) of slow-recovering species. For example, the authors' assumption of constant annual harvests spread across all areas in every year if there was no RZS would be unlikely owing to fishing costs. Data on age at maximum length for most species are unsupported; e.g. 5-10 y for black

teatfish, contrary to empirical evidence of slow growth (4). Inferences about the rotational strategy are also unrealistic because the authors' model includes burying blackfish (*Actinopyga spinea*), which comprises two-thirds of the overall fishery catch but most of that arises from non-RZS zones where that species is fished every year.

Plagányi et al. (1) advocate RZSs “for coastal and reef systems globally” without considering fundamental governance issues that predominate in such fisheries. Planning and coordinating fishing in rotational zones will be arduous in most tropical sea cucumber fisheries, which have open-access property rights, and weak technical and enforcement capacity of management institutions. The requisite “cap on total catch or effort per locality” has repeatedly proven unworkable in most sea cucumber fisheries globally. RZSs in low-income and developing countries will be costly and risky, especially for species threatened with extinction or with vulnerable life histories. Thus, the modelling remains theoretical and decoupled from real world application. We counter that conservative and adaptive management involving regulation of fishing effort, to curtail fishing to <5% of virgin biomass per annum, and shortlists of allowable species with robust populations (2, 5) would cost less and better safeguard biodiversity.

- 
1. Plagányi EE, Skewes T, Murphy N, Pascual R, & Fischer M (2015) Crop rotations in the sea: Increasing returns and reducing risk of collapse in sea cucumber fisheries. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112(21):6760–6765.
  2. Purcell SW, Polidoro BA, Hamel J-F, Gamboa RU, & Mercier A (2014) The cost of being valuable: predictors of extinction risk in marine invertebrates exploited as luxury seafood. *Proc R Soc B: Biol Sci* 281:20133296.
  3. O'Regan SM (2015) Harvesters' perspectives on the management of British Columbia's giant red sea cucumber fishery. *Mar Policy* 51:103-110.
  4. Uthicke S, Welch D, & Benzie JAH (2004) Slow growth and lack of recovery in overfished holothurians on the Great Barrier Reef: evidence from DNA fingerprints and repeated large-scale surveys. *Conserv Biol* 18(5):1395-1404.
  5. Purcell SW, Lovatelli A, & Pakoa K (2014) Constraints and solutions for managing Pacific Island sea cucumber fisheries with an ecosystem approach. *Mar Policy* 45:240-250.