

2014

The gambling behavior of Indigenous Australians

Nerilee Hing
Southern Cross University

Helen Breen
Southern Cross University

Ashley Gordon
Southern Cross University

Alex Russell
Southern Cross University

Publication details

Post-print of: Hing, N, Breen, H, Gordon, A & Russell, A 2014, 'The gambling behavior of Indigenous Australians', *Journal of Gambling Studies*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 369-386.

Published version available from:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10899-013-9358-9>

ePublications@SCU is an electronic repository administered by Southern Cross University Library. Its goal is to capture and preserve the intellectual output of Southern Cross University authors and researchers, and to increase visibility and impact through open access to researchers around the world. For further information please contact epubs@scu.edu.au.

The Gambling Behavior of Indigenous Australians

Nerilee Hing^{a*}

Helen Breen^b

Ashley Gordon^b

Alex Russell^d

^a Prof Nerilee Hing*

Centre for Gambling Education and Research

School of Tourism and Hospitality Management

Southern Cross University, NSW Australia

PO Box 157 Lismore NSW Australia 2478

Email: nerilee.hing@scu.edu.au

Phone: +61 2 66 203928

Fax: +61 2 66 203565

^b Dr Helen Breen

Centre for Gambling Education and Research

School of Tourism and Hospitality Management

Southern Cross University, NSW Australia

PO Box 157 Lismore NSW Australia 2478

^b Ashley Gordon

Centre for Gambling Education and Research

School of Tourism and Hospitality Management

Southern Cross University, NSW Australia

PO Box 157 Lismore NSW Australia 2478

^dAlex Russell

Centre for Gambling Education and Research

School of Tourism and Hospitality Management

Southern Cross University, NSW Australia

PO Box 157 Lismore NSW Australia 2478

* Corresponding author

Acknowledgements

We thank all the Indigenous participants who shared their time and information with us. Without their valuable assistance this project could not have been conducted. Funding for this study was received from the Australian Research Council.

The Gambling Behavior of Indigenous Australians

The gambling activities of minority groups such as Indigenous peoples are usually culturally complex and poorly understood. To redress the scarcity of information and contribute to a better understanding of gambling by Indigenous people, this paper presents quantitative evidence gathered at three Australian Indigenous festivals, online and in several Indigenous communities. With support from Indigenous communities, the study collected and analyzed surveys from 1,259 self-selected Indigenous adults. Approximately 33% of respondents gambled on card games while 80% gambled on commercial gambling forms in the previous year. Gambling participation and involvement are high, particularly on electronic gaming machines (EGMs), the favorite and most regular form of gambling. Men are significantly more likely to participate in gambling and to gamble more frequently on EGMs, horse/dog races, sports betting and instant scratch tickets. This elevated participation and frequency of gambling on continuous forms would appear to heighten gambling risks for Indigenous men. This is particularly the case for younger Indigenous men, who are more likely than their older counterparts to gamble on EGMs, table games and poker. While distinct differences between the gambling behaviors of our Indigenous sample and non-Indigenous Australians are apparent, Australian Indigenous behavior appears similar to that of some Indigenous and First Nations populations in other countries. Although this study represents the largest survey of Indigenous Australian gambling ever conducted in New South Wales and Queensland, further research is

1 *needed to extend our knowledge of Indigenous gambling and to limit the*
2
3
4 *risks from gambling for Indigenous peoples.*
5
6
7

8
9 Indigenous Australians have participated in gambling for over 300 years with
10
11 Macassan traders introducing card gambling in pockets of the north (Breen 2008).
12
13 Today, although card games are reducing in popularity, they remain a widespread
14
15 acceptable form of social recreation in some Indigenous communities; further the
16
17 expansion of commercial forms of gambling, such as electronic gaming machines
18
19 (EGMS), casinos and off-course wagering, has extended Indigenous gambling
20
21 participation (Breen 2010; McDonald and Wombo 2006; McMillen and Donnelly
22
23 2008).
24
25
26

27
28 Scant empirically derived knowledge exists regarding most aspects of
29
30 contemporary Indigenous gambling, either on cards or commercial gambling. The
31
32 international knowledge base is meager, providing little insight into Indigenous
33
34 gambling as a socio-cultural activity, thus limiting prior theoretical developments in
35
36 gambling to culturally narrow perspectives. There is an urgent need to build the
37
38 knowledge base about contemporary Indigenous gambling activities, Indigenous
39
40 community values and beliefs around gambling, how Indigenous gambling problems
41
42 are perceived, Indigenous help-seeking behavior, and culturally-sensitive resources
43
44 for problem gamblers (Wardman et al. 2001; Wynne 2011).
45
46
47
48

49
50 This paper contributes to addressing some gaps in knowledge regarding
51
52 contemporary Indigenous gambling. It reports selected outcomes of a broader
53
54 empirical study examining gambling amongst Indigenous Australian people in a range
55
56 of different locations mainly throughout New South Wales (NSW) and Queensland
57
58 (QLD). The paper aims to describe the gambling behaviors of these Indigenous
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Australians and to analyze and compare aspects of their gambling behavior by selected socio-demographic characteristics. The study is pioneering in that it represents the largest survey specific to Indigenous gambling ever conducted in NSW and QLD and the first since 1996.

Background

At the last Australian census, 548,370 Indigenous people accounted for approximately 2.5% of Australia's population (Australian Bureau of Statistics [ABS] 2010; 2012). Indigenous Australians are a relatively young population, with a median age of 21 years compared to 37 years for the non-Indigenous population reflecting higher fertility rates and lower life expectancy (ABS 2010; 2012). Indigenous family units are typically larger with more dependent children (ABS 2006). Over one-quarter (27%) live in remote or very remote parts of Australia, compared with 2% of non-Indigenous Australians, while around 30% of Indigenous people live in Australian cities (ABS 2012; 2006).

Indigenous people face structural disadvantage in Australian society, leading to a greater burden of ill-health, disability and reduced quality of life and a high incidence of social problems (Australian Council of Social Services [ACOSS] 2010; Holland 2011). Those reporting their health as 'fair or poor' is nearly double the rate of non-Indigenous Australians (ABS 2006). Indigenous people are more likely than non-Indigenous people to live below the poverty line, be unemployed, and be less educated (ACOSS 2010).

In terms of public health risks, Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australian adults report similar rates for drinking alcohol at risky levels while 50% of Indigenous adults are daily smokers, about twice the rate of non-Indigenous adults (ABS 2006).

1 Some studies have suggested that Indigenous Australians are more at risk of
2 developing problem gambling (e.g. Dickerson et al. 1996; QLD Department of
3 Corrective Services 2005; Stevens and Young 2009a, 2009b), which has been defined
4 as behavior ‘characterised by difficulties in limiting money and/or time spent on
5 gambling which leads to adverse consequences for the gambler, others, or for the
6 community’ (Neal, Delfabbro and O’Neil 2005:1).
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Gambling among Indigenous Peoples

19 Most gambling studies examine the gambling activities of the general population or
20 dominant cultural group, with few focusing on sub-cultural groups (Wynne and
21 McCready 2004). However, some New Zealand and North American studies have
22 examined gambling amongst First Nations and Aboriginal peoples.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 In New Zealand, representative surveys have found that, while Maori and
32 Pacific Islanders are less likely to gamble than the general New Zealand population
33 (Gray 2011; Ministry of Health 2009), Māori participate in more types of gambling
34 than do Pacific people and the general New Zealand population (Gray 2011). Māori
35 are more likely to buy lottery and instant scratch tickets more frequently, play EGMs
36 in pubs and clubs weekly, and buy raffle tickets or attend casino fundraising evenings
37 than other groups in New Zealand (Gray 2011). Indeed, Māori and Pacific people are
38 twice as likely to be at least weekly gamblers on continuous forms (Gray 2011) and
39 over four times more likely to be problem gamblers than the general population
40 (Ministry of Health 2009).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Some qualitative studies help to explain these differences. Interviews with 131
55 people from four major ethnic groups living in New Zealand (Maori, Pacific Island,
56 Asian, European) (Tse et al. 2012) found that environmental and ethno-cultural
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 influences to gamble include: attractive gambling prizes, gambling advertising
2 targeting specific ethnic groups, gambling forms that cater for different skill levels,
3
4 24/7 access to gambling, living in poverty, stressful urban life, learned
5
6 intergenerational gambling patterns, and family and peer encouragement to gamble.
7
8 From focus groups with 194 Māori groups throughout New Zealand, Wātene et al.
9
10 (2007) reported gambling being perceived as tied to Māori culture, as a form of *koha*
11 or reciprocity to support cultural infrastructure and activities. However, Māori with
12
13 higher gambling participation reported significantly worse physical and mental health,
14
15 self-esteem and overall life satisfaction (Wātene et al. 2007).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 In North America, groups of First Nations people have historically gambled
24 for religious, ceremonial, social, education, diplomatic and economic reasons
25 (Belanger 2011). They gambled on archery, lacrosse and hundreds of dice games. In
26
27 fact, gambling was used as a means of peacefully resolving conflicts by the Mohawks
28 of Kahnawa'ke and is the foundation of their Great Law of Peace (Lazarus et al.
29
30 2011). More recently, some First Nations people have established casinos on their
31
32 land to help fund their future, achieve self-determination and strengthen independence
33 (Belanger 2006). Thus, gambling has a fundamental role in many First Nations
34
35 societies.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 In North America, the contemporary gambling participation rate for
46 Aboriginal adults is significantly higher than for the general adult population
47 (Williams et al. 2011). High gambling participation rates have been found in surveys
48 of 65 Aboriginal people in Alberta (Smith and Wynne 2002) and of 500 Aboriginal
49 people living inside and outside Alberta reservation communities (Auger and Hewitt
50
51 2000). Secondary data indicate that Cree adults gamble proportionally less than the
52
53 Québec population, yet about 9% have or are at risk of a gambling problem,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 compared to 2% of the Québec population (Chevalier 2008). Shedding light on
2
3
4 overall gambling frequency, Oakes et al. (2004) interviewed 192 Aboriginal adults in
5
6 12 Treaty #3 communities in Ontario, with about 75% reporting they gamble at least a
7
8 few times a month.
9

10 Williams et al. (2011) report that participation in different forms of gambling
11
12 varies significantly between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal gamblers in North
13
14 America, citing differences for instant-win scratch tickets (52.9% cf 7.0%), EGMs
15
16 (40% cf 27.2%), bingo (23.2% cf 7.6%) and casino table games (13.2% cf 9.1%).
17
18 Similarly, Smith et al. (2011) found high participation rates in many forms of
19
20 gambling amongst a sample of 50 Cree people in two Alberta communities. Williams
21
22 et al. (2011) also report that Aboriginal gamblers engage in more gambling forms
23
24 (2.9) compared to non-Aboriginal (2.4) gamblers in North America.
25
26
27
28
29

30 Growing evidence suggests that a higher proportion of Aboriginal than non-
31
32 Aboriginal people experience problems from ‘high-stakes’ gambling (Belanger 2006;
33
34 Williams et al. 2011), and are about 2-3 times more likely to become problem
35
36 gamblers (Belanger 2006; Williams et al. 2011). In the United States, re-analysis of a
37
38 nationally representative survey of 43,093 adults (Alegría et al. 2009) found nearly
39
40 double the prevalence of disordered gambling amongst Native Americans compared
41
42 to the national population. The authors suggested their results reflect cultural
43
44 differences in gambling, its acceptability and accessibility. If Dyall’s (2010) claim is
45
46 accurate that Indigenous people in nations with a history of British colonization are
47
48 more susceptible to gambling problems, then this higher risk may also be faced by
49
50 Indigenous Australians.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Gambling among Indigenous Australians

Research has provided some insights into the gambling behavior of contemporary Indigenous Australians. Some studies have exclusively examined Indigenous peoples (e.g., Altman 1985; Christie and Greatorex 2009; Goodale 1987; Hunter and Spargo 1988; Phillips 2003), while others have used broad population samples in which Indigenous sub-populations have been deliberately or coincidentally captured (e.g. Dickerson et al. 1996; QLD Department of Corrective Services, 2006; Young et al. 2006).

Qualitative studies in remote Indigenous communities in Western Australia (WA), Queensland (QLD) and the Northern Territory (NT) have found card gambling to be a prominent activity with a focus on socialization (Hunter and Spargo 1988; Martin 1993; Phillips 2003), leisure (Altman 1985), and a way to reduce boredom and escape daily pressures (Phillips 2003). However, recent studies have found more negative impacts. For example, card gambling in three remote Indigenous communities in north QLD is associated with high rates of moderate risk gambling (QLD Department of Corrective Services 2005). From interviews with representatives from 64 health, welfare, social support and government agencies in four NT towns, McDonald and Wombo (2006) reported that card gambling has altered from its socially redistributive function, with winnings now leaked from the community of origin. Some gamblers reportedly believe they can win more from EGMs than cards, leading to increased engagement in commercial gambling. Similarly, Breen et al. (2011) in qualitative interviews with 169 Indigenous Australians in north-eastern NSW, found that involvement in commercial gambling has accompanied a decline in collective aspects of card gambling in urban areas and increasingly individual motivations for commercial gambling participation.

1 A consultative study with 98 people throughout NSW (Aboriginal Health and
2 Medical Research Council of New South Wales [AHMRC] 2007:34) reported
3 gambling as historically a “very common and widely accepted activity” in many
4 Indigenous communities, a part of life and a pleasurable leisure and recreation
5 activity. Women reportedly prefer gaming machines, bingo and cards while men are
6 more likely to bet on horse racing. Gambling is considered a consistent source of
7 problems (financial losses, child neglect, family disagreements, legal troubles) for
8 some Indigenous communities (AHMRC 2007); however Indigenous gamblers were
9 not interviewed for this study.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Four quantitative studies have contributed knowledge on Indigenous
24 Australian gambling. The largest in NSW captured a convenience sample of 222
25 Indigenous Australians in two cities and three rural areas (Dickerson et al. 1996).
26 Over 85% of the sample had gambled in the past year, with about 50% gambling
27 weekly. These weekly Indigenous gamblers indicated higher endorsement of
28 gambling motivations (enjoyment, pleasure and involvement) than the weekly non-
29 Indigenous gamblers in the study. Gambling on horse and dog races and EGMs was
30 very popular. The weekly median spend was AU\$221 for men and AU\$124 for
31 women in rural areas, and AU\$111 for men and AU \$91 for women in urban areas.
32 The average session spend amongst regular gamblers was similar for both Indigenous
33 and non-Indigenous study participants, but because the Indigenous gamblers reported
34 more frequent sessions and used more gambling types, their weekly expenditure was
35 significantly higher. While most Indigenous gamblers (85%) reported positive
36 experiences with gambling, being a hobby, an interest and for relaxation, problem
37 gambling was estimated at around 11% of the people surveyed. Gambling frequency
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 and participation were significantly higher amongst the Indigenous than non-
2
3
4 Indigenous gamblers.
5

6 The Australian Institute for Gambling Research and the Labour and Industry
7
8 Research Unit (AIGR/LIRU) (1996) surveyed a non-random snowball sample of 128
9
10 Indigenous regular gamblers in licensed venues in Cairns, north QLD. The research
11
12 revealed their preferences were EGMs (78%), Lotto/lottery (11%), horse and dog
13
14 racing (7%), and cards and bingo (4%). Men and women preferred EGMs equally.
15
16 The average gambling expenditure was about AU\$60 per week, of which about
17
18 AU\$30 was spent on EGMs. While the survey was limited to gamblers in venues, a
19
20 high percentage of income (20%) was spent on gambling. Further, before EGMs were
21
22 introduced in the early 1990s, 29% of the sample had never gambled (AIGR/LIRU
23
24
25
26
27
28 1996).
29

30 Two related quantitative studies investigated relationships between reported
31
32 gambling problems and negative life events among Australian and NT residents.
33
34 Stevens and Young (2009a, 2009b) analysed ABS data and responses to one question
35
36 from the Negative Life Events Scale (NLS) that asked whether the respondent, their
37
38 family or close friends had experienced gambling problems over the previous 12
39
40 months. Important variations between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians
41
42 experiencing gambling-related problems were associated with multi-family
43
44 households, income levels, social connectedness, and community problems including
45
46 violence. Gambling problems for Indigenous people were reported to average 13.5%,
47
48 about six times higher than national rates for the general population (Productivity
49
50 Commission 1999).
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 Despite the useful contribution made by these previous studies, many have
58
59 been plagued by methodological limitations, especially their small non-representative
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 samples. Further, few have garnered Indigenous community support. This paper
2 reports on a study which carefully adhered to Indigenous ethical research principles,
3
4 generating widespread support from community leaders and residents, thus enabling a
5
6 survey of several large groups of Indigenous Australians in QLD and NSW.
7
8
9

10 11 12 **Methods**

13 14 **Research Design**

15
16 Research involving Indigenous people needs to be approached from culturally safe
17 and respectful positions (Atkinson 2002; Martin 2008; Rigney 1997). While one of
18 our research team is an Indigenous Australian, all team members were extremely
19 mindful of these requirements and of the central importance of gaining trust and
20 maintaining integrity. As such, guidance was sought from several guidelines for
21 ethical research (AIATSIS 2012; NHMRC 2003; NHMRC 2007). Being crucial that
22 all human and Indigenous ethical research protocols were met, this project was
23 submitted to and approved by the AHMRC of NSW (760/10) and by a university
24 Human Research Ethics Committee (ECN-10-178).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The study involved six stages. Consultative meetings were first held with key
41 individuals and groups in the study communities, including Aboriginal Land
42 Councils, community controlled Aboriginal health and employment services,
43 Aboriginal tertiary education administrators, Aboriginal event organizers, government
44 departments with Aboriginal portfolios, local Elders and local Indigenous community
45 members. Second, intellectual property and methodology issues were negotiated with
46 the AHMRC of NSW. Third, significant effort was made to engage with Aboriginal
47 communities. To generate community awareness and support for the study, several
48 articles about gambling awareness and the need for research were published in local
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 community newspapers, included in event publicity, posted online and distributed as
2
3 posters in Aboriginal communities. Fourth, local Indigenous research assistants were
4
5 recruited and trained for survey administration, before conducting a gambling survey
6
7 of Indigenous adults at events, in communities and online. The final stage involved
8
9 data analysis and feedback through the AHMRC of NSW.
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 Measures

17
18 Given the study's aim was to measure various aspects of Indigenous Australians'
19
20 gambling behavior, quantitative methods were deemed most suitable. The survey
21
22 instrument was based on questions from five previous surveys (Productivity
23
24 Commission 1999; QLD Department of Corrective Services 2005; QLD Government
25
26 2008; and surveys in two QLD Indigenous communities that remain confidential).
27
28 Community consultation led to adaptation of some questions. The same survey was
29
30 then used in all situations.
31
32
33
34

35 The survey contained an information sheet followed by questions about the
36
37 respondent's gambling relating to the following:
38
39

- 40 • participation, frequency, duration and expenditure on card gambling;
- 41
- 42 • frequency of gambling on 10 forms of legal commercial gambling;
- 43
- 44 • duration, venue and expenditure for their most frequent commercial
- 45 gambling activity;
- 46
- 47 • reasons for gambling;
- 48
- 49 • consequences of gambling;
- 50
- 51 • help-seeking for gambling-related problems;
- 52
- 53 • erroneous beliefs about gambling;
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

- demographic characteristics including Aboriginality, age, gender, marital status, employment status and source of income;
- the Problem Gambling Severity Index (PGSI) (Ferris and Wynne 2001). The PGSI contains nine items scored as “never” = 0, “sometimes” = 1, “most of the time” = 2 and “almost always” = 3. Scores are summed for a total between 0-27, with respondents classified as 0 = non-problem gambler; 1-2 = low risk gambler; 3-7 = moderate risk gambler, and 8+ = problem gambler.

Only some aspects of the data collected are reported in this paper.

Procedure

The survey was administered at three Aboriginal cultural and sports festivals, online and in a variety of Aboriginal communities during 2011. The first event was an Aboriginal cultural festival, the one-day Saltwater Freshwater Festival (SW/FW) held in January in Port Macquarie NSW. Most of the estimated 5,000 attendees were Aboriginal and 276 completed surveys were collected.

The second event was the four-day NSW Aboriginal Rugby League Knockout in Bathurst in October. About 10,000 Aboriginal people were estimated to attend this well-known event that has operated for 41 years. The event draws people from across NSW, QLD and other states and is attended by generations of Aboriginal families who often treat it as an annual gathering of relatives and friends. From this festival 499 surveys were collected.

The third event was the three-day First Contact Sports and Cultural Festival in Brisbane QLD in November. This festival has been running for 18 years and attracts touch football teams, supporters, cultural performers and art groups from all over

1 QLD, NSW and other states. From an estimated 3,000-5,000 people at this event, 353
2 surveys were collected.
3
4

5
6 Additionally, the survey was placed online from March to November 2011
7 with links placed on Indigenous health, education, employment, responsible gambling
8 and gambling help websites. We also ran Facebook advertisements for one month.
9
10 Seventy-nine surveys were completed online.
11
12
13
14

15
16 From contacts of the research team, over 65 Aboriginal people, generally
17 community leaders or representatives of key community organisations, were
18 telephoned between March to November 2011. They were asked to publicise the
19 survey in their communities. With their approval, each person was sent a set of
20 posters, surveys and pre-paid return envelopes. From this effort, 66 completed
21 surveys were returned.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 To facilitate survey administration, 33 Indigenous residents from the event
31 locations were recruited, trained and paid as university employees. These research
32 assistants were trained in data collection, record-keeping, assisting respondents who
33 requested help, safety and security, survey confidentiality and anonymity. They were
34 provided with uniforms, equipment, refreshments and at the end, a certificate of
35 thanks. Pairs of research assistants approached festival attendees and, after explaining
36 the aims of the research, asked them to complete the gambling survey. If people
37 agreed, they were given a self-sealing envelope for their completed survey which was
38 then placed in a secure box. Thus, participation was voluntary and anonymous, as was
39 the online survey. As noted above, Aboriginal community representatives also
40 distributed surveys to their contacts. These surveys were posted to the research team
41 in pre-paid sealed envelopes.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Several \$200 shopping vouchers were offered as random draw prizes for
2
3
4 completing the gambling survey, one at each event and one every two months for new
5
6 online and community survey participants. In total, 1,273 completed surveys were
7
8 collected. Eight respondents indicated they were under 18 years of age and a further
9
10 six did not indicate their age. These 14 surveys were excluded from further analysis.
11
12 Thus, the following analyses are drawn from 1,259 respondents. Table 1 shows the
13
14 survey numbers collected from each research site.
15
16

17
18
19
20
21 Insert Table 1 about here
22
23
24

25 Analysis

26
27 Data were analysed using SPSS v20 on an Apple Intel MacBook Pro. Analyses were
28
29 conducted using an alpha of 0.05 (unless stated otherwise). Independent samples t-
30
31 tests, ANOVA with post-hoc pairwise comparisons using the Tukey HSD procedure
32
33 and chi-square were used. Effect sizes for significant results are reported throughout.
34
35

36
37 All demographic comparisons were conducted using the univariate ANOVA
38
39 procedure with Tukey HSD pairwise comparisons or chi-square test of independence.
40
41 Follow up comparisons for the chi-square procedure were conducted using
42
43 standardized residuals, where a value of ± 2 indicates a significant difference. Given
44
45 the dearth of previous research on Indigenous Australian gambling, this study was
46
47 considered exploratory so no hypotheses were formulated or tested.
48
49
50

51 Participants

52
53
54
55 Most respondents (92.2%) indicated they were of Aboriginal origin, with 3.8%
56
57 identifying as Torres Strait Islanders and 4.0% indicating both. Females comprised
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 58.4% of the sample, compared to 50.2% Indigenous females from census data (ABS
2
3
4 2006), $\chi^2 (1, N = 1,176) = 31.51, p < 0.001, \Phi = 0.16$. The 35-64 year old age group
5
6 was slightly overrepresented, while those under 34 and older than 65 were slightly
7
8 underrepresented compared to ABS figures (2006), $\chi^2 (10, N = 1,109) = 57.0, p <$
9
10 0.001, $\Phi = 0.23$. The age bracket of 18-19 years was excluded from this analysis,
11
12 because the number of respondents in this bracket Australia-wide was not directly
13
14 available from the ABS. There were no significant differences between the genders in
15
16 terms of age-group breakdown, $\chi^2 (11, N = 1,168) = 17.71, p = 0.09, \Phi = 0.12$. The
17
18 most frequent marital status was never married (42.9%), followed by married (24.3%)
19
20 and living with partner (23.4%). Most of the sample (62.6%) indicated that work is
21
22 their primary source of income, while 30.1% rely solely on a pension. Demographic
23
24 information is presented in Table 2.
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 Insert Table 2 about here
32

33 The results below should be interpreted with the following caveats in mind.
34
35 First, the sample was a convenience, non-random sample. Second, the sample who
36
37 attended the events and responded to the survey, as well as those who responded
38
39 online and to the mail-out survey, may be skewed in some way and not be
40
41 representative of Indigenous Australian adults. Third, lower overall educational levels
42
43 of Indigenous Australians (ACOSS 2010) and the fact that English may not be their
44
45 first language raise the prospect that some respondents may not have accurately
46
47 comprehended all survey questions. Some inconsistencies in the data, identified
48
49 below, may reflect this issue. While the research assistants offered to assist survey
50
51 participants by reading the survey to them if needed, varying literacy levels may have
52
53 also deterred some people from participating in the survey. Thus, the sample may also
54
55 be skewed towards those with higher English literacy.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Results

Of the 1,256 respondents who gave information about their gambling participation, 248 (19.7%) indicated they did not take part in any of the 11 forms. Thus, 80.3% of participants had gambled in the previous 12 months. On average, respondents participated in 3.47 ($SD = 2.93$) of the 11 gambling forms covered by the survey.

There were some inconsistencies in the data for gambling activities. Respondents were asked whether they participated in 11 different forms of gambling. If they indicated that they engaged in a particular form, they were then asked how often they did so. Those who indicated that they did not use a particular form within the last 12 months were asked to skip the frequency question. In approximately 10% of cases, respondents did not answer the first question, but did respond with a frequency of engagement for one or more of the gambling forms. In these cases, the respondent was considered to engage in forms of gambling with a recorded frequency and not to engage in forms of gambling without a recorded frequency. In approximately 3% of cases (the percentage varies depending on the form), there was conflicting information between the two questions, such as a respondent indicating they engage in a form of gambling, but then indicating that they never take part in that form of gambling. In these cases, the frequency of gambling question was taken to be correct. Thus, the final figure for how many participants engage in each form of gambling may be slightly inflated.

Card Games

Approximately one-third (33.1%) of respondents gambled on card games within the previous 12 months. Amongst these card gamblers, 40.3% reported doing so only a few times per year, whereas 36.3% indicated gambling on card games at least weekly

1 and 44.1% at least fortnightly. Of the 387 card gamblers who answered the question,
2
3
4 46.3% indicated that they gamble on cards in their local area, while a further 46.5%
5
6 indicated that they gamble on cards both in local and non-local areas. More than one-
7
8 half of the card gamblers (55.3%) usually gamble for less than three hours on these
9
10 card games, while 7.0% of respondents indicated card gambling sessions of eight or
11
12 more hours. Most card gamblers (62.8%) spend less than \$50 per fortnight on card
13
14 games, while 21.4% spend more than \$100 and 4.8% spend more than \$500. A small
15
16 percentage of card gamblers (12.4%) indicated that they gamble with things other
17
18 than money, such as cigarettes (11.2%), alcohol (10.9%), food (4.8%), other
19
20 possessions (5.8%) and for favours (5.2%). Card gamblers were also asked about the
21
22 size of the pot for last card game they played in their local area. This was an open-
23
24 response question and four responses of \$20,000 or more were treated as questionable
25
26 and removed. The average winning pot size was \$467.21 ($SD = \$1,085.72$, $N = 270$).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 Table 3 has full details on card game gambling.
34
35
36
37

38 Insert Table 3 about here
39
40
41

42 Commercial Gambling

43
44
45 The most common commercial forms of gambling in which respondents engaged
46
47 during the previous 12 months were EGMs (67.3% of respondents), Keno (47.3%),
48
49 scratch tickets (42.8%), lotteries (40.8%) and horse and dog racing (37.2%). Table 4
50
51 has these details.
52
53
54
55
56

57 Insert Table 4 about here
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 For most gambling forms, between 20% and 40% engage on a weekly basis,
2
3 while between 30% and 60% who participate in each form of gambling only engage a
4
5 few times a year. Combined with high participation rates, a substantial proportion of
6
7 the whole sample are regular (at least weekly) gamblers on the different gambling
8
9 forms (Table 5).
10
11
12

13 Insert Table 5 about here
14
15
16
17

18 Favourite Commercial Gambling Forms 19

20 When the gamblers in the sample were asked which type of commercial gambling
21
22 they had gambled most money on, 58.3% said EGMs, 12.2% said horse and dog
23
24 racing, 9.9% said lotto or lottery-type games and 6.5% said Keno. All other forms
25
26 were under 5%. Most commercial gamblers (55.8%) engage in their favourite
27
28 gambling activity in a club, 19.2% in a hotel and 12.8% at a newsagent, with all other
29
30 forms under 4% of gamblers. Approximately two-thirds (66.8%) of commercial
31
32 gamblers spend two hours or less on their favourite type of gambling per gambling
33
34 session, compared to 11.7% of commercial gamblers who spend four or more hours
35
36 per session. Approximately one-third (33.1%) spend under \$20 per gambling session
37
38 on their favourite gambling form, 75.0% spend under \$100 and 15.1% spend more
39
40 than \$200.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Gender Comparisons 50

51 Males ($M = 4.0$, $SD = 3.18$) reported participating in significantly more forms of
52
53 gambling compared to females ($M = 3.07$, $SD = 2.67$), $F(1, 1172) = 29.79$, $p < 0.001$,
54
55 $\eta^2 = 0.01$.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 A significantly higher proportion of males gamble on card games (χ^2 (1, $N =$
2 1,168)= 15.09, $p < 0.001$, $\Phi = 0.11$), Keno (χ^2 (1, $N = 1,167$)= 7.83, $p < 0.01$, $\Phi =$
3 0.08), horse and dog racing (χ^2 (1, $N = 1,166$)= 95.20, $p < 0.001$, $\Phi = 0.29$), sports
4 betting (χ^2 (1, $N = 1,167$)= 69.16, $p < 0.001$, $\Phi = 0.24$), table games (χ^2 (1, $N =$
5 1,167)= 44.19, $p < 0.001$, $\Phi = 0.20$), online casino games (χ^2 (1, $N = 1,167$)= 15.69, p
6 < 0.001 , $\Phi = 0.12$) and poker tournaments (χ^2 (1, $N = 1,167$)= 26.03, $p < 0.001$, $\Phi =$
7 0.15). In contrast, a higher proportion of females engage in bingo compared to males,
8 χ^2 (1, $N = 1,167$)= 22.27, $p < 0.001$, $\Phi = 0.14$.

9 For those who participate in each form, gender differences were observed in
10 gambling frequency for EGMs (χ^2 (5, $N = 773$)= 19.29, $p = 0.002$, $\Phi = 0.16$), betting
11 on horse/dog racing (χ^2 (5, $N = 427$)= 45.03, $p < 0.001$, $\Phi = 0.33$), sports betting (χ^2
12 (5, $N = 265$)= 18.12, $p = 0.003$, $\Phi = 0.26$) and instant scratchies (χ^2 (5, $N = 484$)=
13 12.42, $p = 0.029$, $\Phi = 0.16$). Standardized residuals indicate that males gamble more
14 frequently than females on all of these forms.

15 In terms of card games, gender differences were observed in terms of where
16 the games are played (χ^2 (2, $N = 358$)= 9.85, $p = 0.007$, $\Phi = 0.17$), with standardized
17 residuals indicating that a higher proportion of females (11.2%) play exclusively
18 outside of their local area, compared to 3.4% of males. A significantly higher
19 proportion of males (15.5%) indicated that they had gambled on cards with alcohol,
20 compared to 4.3% of females (χ^2 (1, $N = 287$)= 9.94, $p = 0.002$, $\Phi = 0.19$). No other
21 significant differences between the genders were observed for card games. Table 6
22 has further details.

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Age Comparisons

For age comparisons, there were 21 respondents in the 65-69 and 70+ categories, so these were merged with the 60-64 age bracket to prevent statistical issues.

Standardised residuals suggest that EGM gamblers ($\chi^2 (9, N = 1,183) = 19.39, p = 0.022, \Phi = 0.13$), table game gamblers ($\chi^2 (9, N = 1,183) = 20.70, p = 0.014, \Phi = 0.13$) and poker players ($\chi^2 (9, N = 1,183) = 24.72, p = 0.003, \Phi = 0.15$) tend to be younger, while lotto/lottery gamblers tend to be older, ($\chi^2 (9, N = 1,183) = 44.55, p < 0.001, \Phi = 0.19$). There are no significant differences between age brackets for the number of gambling forms engaged in.

In terms of gambling with things other than money, older gamblers tend to gamble more with cigarettes than younger gamblers ($\chi^2 (9, N = 288) = 19.15, p = 0.024, \Phi = 0.26$), but not with other non-money items. No significant difference was observed for the location of gambling.

Marital Status Comparisons

Only 2.1% of respondents indicated that they were widowed, so for the following comparisons, they were merged with the 7.4% of respondents in the separated/divorced category.

A significantly higher proportion of those living with their partner (74.1%) play EGMs, compared to 67.7% of single respondents, 61.3% of separated/divorced/widowed respondents and 59.4% of those who are married ($\chi^2 (3, N = 1,173) = 15.27, p = 0.002, \Phi = 0.11$). A significantly higher proportion of married (41.8%) and cohabitating (41.2%) respondents bet on racing compared to 36.9% of

1 separated/divorced/widowed and 31.7% of single respondents (χ^2 (3, $N = 1,172$)=
2 11.09, $p = 0.011$, $\Phi = 0.10$). Significantly fewer single respondents (34.3%) bet on
3 lotto/lottery compared to other marital statuses (ranging from 43.4% to 46.3%) (χ^2 (3,
4 $N = 1,173$)= 14.45, $p = 0.002$, $\Phi = 0.11$). No other significant differences were
5 observed in terms of types of gambling or the number of gambling behaviors engaged
6 in. No other significant differences were observed between marital status groups in
7 terms of gambling behavior.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Discussion**

22 This paper reveals some distinctive features of contemporary Indigenous Australian
23 gambling, as discussed below in relation to previous research and to future research
24 needs.
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Card gambling is a distinctive feature of many Indigenous Australians' lives,
32 either through direct participation, as spectators or through hearing about card
33 gambling sessions (Breen, 2010; Breen et al. 2010). In this research, card gambling
34 was confirmed as a popular activity (Christie and Greatorex 2009; Phillips 2003) that
35 is directly engaged in by about one-third (33.1%) of our respondents. However, most
36 card gamblers in our study (55.9%) play card games only once a month or less
37 frequently, spend less than three hours at each session (55.3%) and spend less than
38 AU\$50 per fortnight (62.7%). These low frequency card gamblers appear similar to
39 Breen's (2010) social card gambler profile, developed from qualitative research in
40 north QLD. Social card players gamble using low stakes in leisurely games within
41 their family and community, often sharing news, seeking views and discussing
42 community matters. From Canadian research with Cree people, Smith et al (2011)
43 found that playing cards for money with friends is viewed as the least problematic
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 form of gambling. Because most card gamblers in our study appear to limit and
2
3
4 maintain control of their card gambling, further exploration is required to better
5
6 understand the processes and practices that regulate and sustain social, low stakes,
7
8 community card gambling.
9

10
11 In contrast, more than one-third of card gamblers in our study gamble on cards
12
13 at least weekly, with some gambling for eight hours or more per session and some
14
15 spending \$200 or more per fortnight. This more serious intensive type of card
16
17 gambling has been documented by others (e.g., Goodale 1987; Hunter and Spargo
18
19 1988; Martin 1993; Phillips 2003; Young et al. 2006) and described by Berndt and
20
21 Berndt (1946:248) as ‘insidious’ because players become so immersed in cards that
22
23 they exclude other activities. Breen (2010) called intensive card gamblers, committed
24
25 gamblers who play with high stakes, in long intensive games with high levels of
26
27 involvement. Additional research with these more intensive card gamblers could
28
29 investigate risk factors for heavy card gambling, the harms that might arise and public
30
31 health measures that can prevent and minimise these harms.
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Commercial forms of gambling are also popular amongst our survey
39
40 participants, with about four-fifths engaging in commercial gambling in the previous
41
42 year. Similar participation rates are reported for North American Aboriginal people
43
44 (Williams et al 2011) and in early NSW Indigenous research (Dickerson et al. 1996),
45
46 and are higher than for the general Australian population (Productivity Commission
47
48 2010) and for Māori and Pacific Islander people in New Zealand (Gray 2011). The
49
50 number of gambling activities engaged in is also high amongst our sample, compared
51
52 to other Aboriginal and First Nations groups (Gray 2011; Williams et al. 2011).
53
54 Although not comparable with nationally representative samples, commercial
55
56 gambling participation and involvement are in the higher range for our sample.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 This distinctive feature of high commercial gambling participation amongst
2
3 our sample is reflected in the high participation rate on many individual forms of
4
5 commercial gambling. The most popular gambling forms reported are EGMs and
6
7 Keno, followed by instant scratch tickets, lotteries, and horse and dog racing. Thus,
8
9 EGM gambling dominates the gambling activities of our sample, as it does for the
10
11 broader Australian population (Productivity Commission 2010). In fact, over one-half
12
13 of gamblers in our study reported spending the most money on EGMs, compared to
14
15 other forms of gambling. This preference for EGMs is consistent with previous
16
17 surveys of Indigenous Australians (AIGR/LIRU 1996; Dickerson et al. 1996) and
18
19 similar to participation rates found amongst Cree people in Alberta (Smith et al.
20
21 2011). The participation rate for EGMs amongst our Indigenous sample is over twice
22
23 that of the general Australian adult population (Productivity Commission 2010) and
24
25 raises research questions as to why EGMs have such broad appeal amongst
26
27 Indigenous Australians.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Regular gambling is also common amongst the gamblers in our sample.
36
37 Around two-fifths of those who engage in EGM gambling, sports betting, online
38
39 casino games for money, poker tournaments and wagering on races do so at least a
40
41 weekly. These frequency rates appear high; for example, the Productivity
42
43 Commission (2010) estimated that about one-quarter of Australian adults who play
44
45 EGMs do so at least weekly. However, some similarities appear between our sample
46
47 and New Zealand research findings where Māori are three times more likely, and
48
49 Pacific Islanders are twice as likely to gamble on EGMs at least weekly compared to
50
51 the general population (Gray 2011).
52
53
54
55
56

57 Combined with the finding that a higher proportion of our Indigenous sample
58
59 participate in EGM gambling, this elevated rate of regular gambling means that over
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 one-quarter of our sample are regular EGM players, compared to about 4% for the
2
3
4 general Australian adult population (Productivity Commission 2010). The next most
5
6 regular gambling activities amongst our sample are keno, wagering on races,
7
8 lotto/lottery-type games and sports betting. For people who play only lottery-type
9
10 games weekly, gambling risks are low. However gambling risks rise sharply with the
11
12 frequency of gambling on table games, wagering and EGMs (Productivity
13
14 Commission 2010). Regular gamblers usually play at higher intensities and for longer
15
16 sessions than irregular gamblers and are about 30% more likely to experience control
17
18 problems, with this likelihood even higher for regular EGM players (Productivity
19
20 Commission 2010). Thus, the high proportion of our sample who gamble at least
21
22 weekly on EGMs and other continuous gambling forms is indicative of potentially
23
24 higher gambling risks, a finding inviting further comparative research with Aboriginal
25
26 populations across the world and research that investigates the underlying causes and
27
28 consequences of such regular gambling amongst substantial proportions of these
29
30 populations. The quite large minorities in our sample who typically gamble for four or
31
32 more hours per session and who spend over \$200 per gambling session on their
33
34 favourite gambling activity, suggest that raising Indigenous community awareness
35
36 about risky gambling behaviors may be warranted.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Comparisons of our respondents' gambling behavior by demographic
46
47 characteristics revealed that men and women are equally likely to participate in EGM
48
49 gambling, a finding consistent with results for the general population in QLD (DEEDI
50
51 2010) but not in NSW where males are overrepresented amongst EGM participants
52
53 (AC Neilson 2007). Some prior Australian research has reported that Indigenous
54
55 women are more likely to gamble on EGMs (AHMRC 2007; Breen et al. 2010; Foote
56
57 1996 McDonald and Wombo 2006), while others have found about equal
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 participation by both sexes (AIGR/LIRU 1996; Cultural Perspectives 2005; Dickerson
2 et al. 1996). In our sample, men and women are also equally likely to buy lotto/lottery
3 and instant scratch tickets, which is consistent with the general NSW population (AC
4 Neilson 2007) but not the QLD population where women are overrepresented
5 (DEEDI 2010).
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

13 However, analysis of our sample shows some gender differences, where
14 Indigenous women are more likely to participate in and play bingo more often,
15 whereas men are more likely to participate in many continuous forms of gambling,
16 including card games, keno, betting on horse/dog races, sports betting, casino table
17 games, online casino gambling and poker tournaments. Men also gamble significantly
18 more frequently than women on EGMs, horse/dog races, sports betting and instant
19 scratch cards. This elevated participation and frequency of gambling on continuous
20 forms by Indigenous men would appear to elevate gambling risks for them. This is
21 particularly the case for younger Indigenous men in our sample, who were more
22 likely than their older counterparts to participate in EGMs, table games and playing
23 poker. Numerous Australian and New Zealand studies, as reviewed by Delfabbro
24 (2009), have found that young people and young males report preferences for casino
25 games, keno, sports betting and racing, whereas older people and women report
26 favoring lotteries, bingo, and instant lotteries. Thus, young men are considered an at-
27 risk group in the general population (Delfabbro 2009), and our results suggest this is
28 also the case for young Indigenous men. An obvious research need is to measure the
29 prevalence of gambling problems amongst Indigenous Australians and associated risk
30 factors, and these will be reported for the current sample in a separate paper.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Conclusion

This exploratory study addresses a long-standing gap in the literature by reporting on the first large scale survey of gambling by Indigenous Australians in NSW and QLD. Although not based on a representative sample, the study contributes to building a picture of who gambles, how often and on which activities. Some distinctive aspects of Indigenous Australian gambling include the popularity of card gambling, high participation and involvement in commercial gambling, and much larger proportions of the Indigenous population who gamble regularly on EGMs and other continuous forms of gambling than are found in the general population. While distinct differences between the gambling behaviors of Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians are apparent, Indigenous gambling behavior appears to be similar in Australia to that of some Indigenous and First Nations populations in other countries.

Further research is needed to gain a better understanding of Indigenous gambling behavior, problem gambling and its contributors and consequences. Subsequent papers based on our sample will illuminate some of these aspects amongst Indigenous Australians, but a follow-up qualitative study would also be valuable to better illuminate why certain aspects of gambling behavior appear to differ so much between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians. Much work remains to broaden our knowledge on this topic and to limit the risks from gambling for Indigenous peoples.

References

- Aboriginal Health and Medical Research Council of NSW (AHMRC). (2007). *Pressing problems: Gambling issues and responses for NSW Aboriginal communities*. Sydney: Author.

- 1 AC Nielsen (2007). *Prevalence of gambling and problem gambling in NSW: A*
2
3
4 *community survey 2006*. Sydney: NSW Office of Liquor, Gaming and Racing.
5
- 6 Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies (AIATSIS).
7
8 (2012). *Guidelines for ethical research in Australian Indigenous studies*.
9
10 Canberra: Author.
- 11
12
13 Alegría, A., Petry, N., Hasin, D., Liu, S-M., Grant, B., & Blanco, C. (2009).
14
15 Disordered gambling among racial and ethnic groups in the us: results from
16
17 the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *CNS*
18
19 *Spectrums, 14*(3), 132-142.
20
21
22
- 23 Altman, J. (1985). Gambling as a mode of redistributing and accumulating cash
24
25 among Aborigines: a case study from Arnhem Land. In G. Caldwell, B. Haig,
26
27 D. Sylvan & L. Sylvan (Eds.), *Gambling in Australia* (pp. 50-67). Sydney:
28
29 Croom Helm.
30
31
- 32
33 Atkinson, J. (2002). *Trauma trails recreating songlines: The transgenerational effects*
34
35 *of trauma in Indigenous Australia*. Melbourne: Spinifex Press.
36
37
- 38 Auger, D., & Hewitt, D. (2000). *Dream chaser: Alberta Aboriginal adult gambling*
39
40 *prevalence study*. Edmonton, AB: Nechi Training, Research & Health
41
42 Promotions Institute.
43
44
- 45 Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). (2006). *National Aboriginal and Torres Strait*
46
47 *Islander health survey 2004-05: Catalogue No. 4715.0*. Canberra: Author.
48
49
- 50 Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). (2010). *Population by age and sex:*
51
52 *Australian states and territories, 2010: Catalogue 3201.0*. Canberra:
53
54 Author.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). (2012). *Census of population and*
2
3
4 *housing: Counts of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians,*
5
6 *2011: catalogue 2075.0.* Canberra: Author.
- 7
8
9 Australian Council of Social Services (ACOSS). (2010). *Poverty report,*
10
11 *October 2010.* Sydney: Author.
- 12
13 Australian Institute for Gambling Research and Labour and Industry Research Unit.
14
15 (AIGR/LIRU) (1996). *Long term study into the impact of gaming machines in*
16
17 *Queensland: An issues paper. The economic and social impact of gaming*
18
19 *machines on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities in*
20
21 *Queensland.* Brisbane: QLD Department of Families, Youth and Community
22
23 Care.
24
25
26
- 27 Belanger, Y. (2006). *Gambling with the future: The evolution of Aboriginal Gaming.*
28
29 Saskatoon, SK: Purich.
- 30
31
32 Belanger, Y. (2011). First Nations gaming and urban Aboriginal peoples in Alberta:
33
34 does and economic “fit” exist? In Y. Belanger (Ed.), *First Nations gambling in*
35
36 *Canada: Current Trends and Issues.* (pp. 140-164). Winnipeg, MB:
37
38 University of Manitoba Press.
39
40
41
- 42 Berndt, R., & Berndt, C. (1946). Card games among the Aborigines of Northern
43
44 Territory. *Oceania*, 17(3), 248-269.
- 45
46
47 Breen, H. (2008). Visitors to northern Australia: Debating the history of Indigenous
48
49 gambling. *International Gambling Studies*, 8, 137-150.
- 50
51
52 Breen, H. (2010). *Risk factors and protective factors associated with Indigenous*
53
54 *gambling in North Queensland.* Unpublished PhD thesis. Lismore: Southern
55
56 Cross University.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Breen, H., Hing, N., & Gordon, A. (2010). *Exploring Indigenous gambling:*
2
3 *Understanding Indigenous gambling behaviour, consequences, risk factors*
4
5 *and potential interventions*. Melbourne: Gambling Research Australia.
6
7

8 Breen, H., Hing, N., & Gordon, A. (2011). Indigenous gambling motivations,
9
10 behaviour and consequences in Northern New South Wales, Australia.
11
12 *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 9(6), 723-739.
13
14

15 Chevalier, S. (2008). *Gambling*. In M. Anctil & S. Chevalier (2008). *Lifestyles related*
16
17 *to alcohol consumption, drugs and gambling: Cree health survey 2003, Cycle*
18
19 *2.1*. Québec: Institut national de santé publique du Québec and the Cree Board
20
21 of Health and Social Services of James Bay.
22
23

24 Christie, M., & Greatorex, J. (2009). *Workshop report, regulated gambling and*
25
26 *problem gambling Among Aborigines from remote Northern Territory*
27
28 *communities: A Yolgnu case study*. Darwin: Charles Darwin University.
29
30

31 Cultural Perspectives Pty. Ltd. (2005). *Problem gambling research report for*
32
33 *Indigenous communities*. Melbourne: Victorian Department of Justice.
34
35

36 Delfabbro, P. (2009). *Australasian gambling review* (4th ed.). Adelaide: Independent
37
38 Gambling Authority of South Australia.
39
40

41 Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation. (DEEDI)
42
43 (2010). *Queensland household gambling survey 2008-09*. Brisbane: QLD
44
45 Government.
46
47

48 Dickerson, M., Allcock, C., Blaszczyński, A., Nicholls, B., Williams, J., & Maddern,
49
50 R. (1996). *A preliminary exploration of the positive and negative impacts of*
51
52 *gambling and wagering on Aboriginal People in NSW*. Sydney: Australian
53
54 Institute for Gambling Research.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 Dyall, L. (2010). Gambling: A poison chalice for Indigenous peoples. *International*
2
3
4 *Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 8, 205–213.
5
- 6 Ferris, J., & Wynne, H. (2002). *The Canadian Problem Gambling Index: final report*.
7
8 Ottawa, ON: Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse.
9
- 10 Foote, R. (1996). *Aboriginal Gambling: A pilot study of casino attendance and the*
11
12 *introduction of poker machines into community venues in the Northern*
13
14 *Territory*. Darwin: Centre for Social Research, Northern Territory University.
15
16
- 17 Gray, R. (2011). *New Zealanders' participation in gambling: Results from the 2010*
18
19 *health and lifestyles survey*. Wellington: Health Sponsorship Council
20
21
- 22 Goodale, J. (1987). Gambling is hard work: Card playing in Tiwi society. *Oceania*, 58
23
24
25 (1), 6-21.
26
27
- 28 Holland, C. (2011). *Shadow report: On Australian governments' progress*
29
30 *towards closing the gap in life expectancy between Indigenous and*
31
32 *non-Indigenous Australians*. Canberra: Close the Gap Campaign
33
34
35 Steering Committee.
36
37
- 38 Hunter, E., & Spargo, R. (1988). What's the big deal? Aboriginal gambling in the
39
40 Kimberley region. *The Medical Journal of Australia*, 149, 668-672.
41
42
- 43 Lazarus, M., Monzon, E., & Wodnicki, R. (2011). The Mohawks of Kahnawa'ke and
44
45 the case for an Aboriginal right to gaming under the Constitution Act 1982. In
46
47 Y. Belanger (Ed.), *First Nations gambling in Canada: Current trends and*
48
49 *issues* (pp. 35-51). Winnipeg: MB: University of Manitoba Press.
50
51
- 52 Martin, D. (1993). *Autonomy and relatedness: An ethnography of Wik people of*
53
54 *Aurukun, Western Cape York Peninsula*. Unpublished PhD Thesis. Canberra:
55
56
57 Australian National University.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Martin, K. (2008). *Please knock before you enter: Aboriginal regulation of Outsiders and the implications for researchers*. Brisbane: Post Pressed.
- McDonald, H., & Wombo, B. (2006). *Indigenous gambling scoping study: A summary*. Darwin: Charles Darwin University.
- McMillen, J., & Donnelly, K. (2008). Gambling in Australian Indigenous communities: The state of play. *Australian Journal of Social Issues*, 43(3), 397-426.
- Ministry of Health. (2009). *A focus on problem gambling: Results of the 2006/07 New Zealand health survey*. Wellington: Ministry of Health.
- National Health & Medical Research Council. (2007). *National statement on ethical conduct in human research*. Canberra: Author.
- National Health & Medical Research Council. (2003). *Values and ethics: Guidelines for ethical conduct in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research*. Canberra: Author.
- Neal, P., Delfabbro, P., & O'Neil, M. (2005). *Problem gambling and harm: Towards a national definition*. Melbourne: Gambling Research Australia.
- Oakes, J., Currie, C., & Courtney, D. (2004). *Gambling and problem gambling in First Nations communities*. Toronto, ON: Ontario Problem Gambling Research Centre.
- Phillips, G. (2003). *Addictions and healing in Aboriginal country*. Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press.
- Productivity Commission (1999). *Australia's gambling industries: Report no. 10*. Canberra: Ausinfo.
- Productivity Commission. (2010). *Gambling: Report no. 50*. Canberra: Author.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Queensland Department of Corrective Services. (2005). *Games people play: Problem gambling in Queensland community corrections*. Brisbane: QLD Government.
- Queensland Department of Corrective Services. (2006). *House of cards: Problem gambling, Indigenous communities and corrections*. Brisbane: QLD Government.
- Queensland Government. (2008). *Queensland household gambling survey 2006-07*. Brisbane: QLD Treasury.
- Rigney, L. (1997). Internationalism of an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander anti-colonial cultural critique of research methodologies: A guide to indigenist research methodology and its principles. In Higher Education Research and Development Society of Australia (HERSDA) (Ed.), *Research and development in higher education: advancing international perspectives*, 20, 629-636.
- Smith, G., Currie, C., & Battle, J. (2011). Exploring gambling impacts in two Alberta Cree Communities: A participatory action study. In Y. Belanger (Ed.), *First Nations gambling in Canada: current trends and issues*. Ch.6, (pp. 118-139). Winnipeg, MB: University of Manitoba Press.
- Smith, G., & Wynne, H. (2002). *Measuring gambling and problem gambling in Alberta using the Canadian Problem Gambling Index (CPGI): Final report*. Edmonton, AB: Alberta Gaming Research Institute.
- Stevens, M., & Young, M. (2009a). Independent correlates of reported gambling problems amongst Indigenous Australians. *Social Indicators Research*, 98(1), 147-166.

- 1 Stevens, M., & Young, M. (2009b). Betting on the evidence: Reported gambling
2 problems among the Indigenous population of the Northern Territory.
3
4 *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 33(6), 556-565.
5
6
7
8
9 Tse, S., Dyall, L., Clarke, D., Abbott, M., Townsend, S. & Kingi, P. (2012). Why
10 people gamble: A qualitative study of four New Zealand ethnic groups.
11
12 *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 10(6), 849-861.
13
14
15
16 Wardman, D., el-Guebaly, N., & Hodgins, D. (2001). Problem and pathological
17 gambling in North American populations: A review of the empirical literature.
18
19 *Journal of Gambling Studies*, 17(2), 81-100.
20
21
22
23 Wātene, N., Thompson, K., Barnett, A., Balzer, M., & Turinui, M. (2007). *The*
24 *impacts of gambling for Māori Communities: A national Māori collaborative*
25 *approach*. Auckland: Te Rūnanga o Kirikiriroa Trust Inc.
26
27
28
29
30
31 Williams, R., Stevens, R., & Nixon, G. (2011). Gambling and problem gambling in
32 North American Aboriginal people. In Y. Belanger (Ed.), *First Nations*
33 *gambling in Canada: Current trends and issues* (pp. 166-194). Winnipeg,
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 Young, M., Abu-Duhou, I., Barnes, T., Creed, E., Morris, M., Stevens, M., & Tyler,
2
3
4 B. (2006). *An overview of gambling in the Northern Territory*. Darwin:
5
6 Charles Darwin University.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1 Source of respondents (N = 1,264)

Location	Number	Valid %
Bathurst Knockout	499	39.6%
Brisbane	342	27.2%
SW/FW Festival	276	21.9%
Online	79	6.3%
NSW Communities	63	5.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1,259</i>	<i>100%</i>

Table 2 Demographic characteristics of respondents.

Note that multiple responses were allowed for the sources of income question.

	Valid %		Valid %
Gender	(<i>N</i> = 1,176)	Sources of income	(<i>N</i> = 1,186)
Male	41.6	Full-time work	48.6
Female	58.4	Part-time/casual work	16.7
		Self-employed	2.3
Age	(<i>N</i> = 1,192)	Sick or disability pension	8.0
18-19	7.0	Single parent allowance	8.1
20-24	13.7	Age pension	3.4
25-29	12.4	Unemployment benefits	14.2
30-34	10.7	Other income	4.1
35-39	14.2		
40-44	11.8	Income categories	(<i>N</i> = 1,178)
45-49	11.2	Worker only	62.6
50-54	7.0	Pensioner only	30.1
55-59	6.1	Work and pension	3.2
60-64	4.1	Other	4.1
65-69	1.0		
≥70	0.8	Marital Status	(<i>N</i> = 1,182)
		Married	24.3
		Living with partner	23.4
		Single	42.9

The gambling behavior of Indigenous Australians

Separated/divorced	7.4
Widowed	2.1

Table 3 Gambling on card games

	Valid %		Valid %
Do you gamble on card games?	(N = 1,249)	Length of gambling session	(N = 398)
Yes	33.1	Less than an hour	16.1
No	66.9	1-2 hours	22.9
		2-3 hours	16.3
Location of gambling	(N = 387)	3-4 hours	18.1
Local only	46.3	4-8 hours	10.3
Non-local only	7.2	8-12 hours	9.3
Both local and non-local	46.5	12-24 hours	4.3
		More than 24 hours	2.8
Frequency of card games	(N = 397)		
Nearly every day	9.8	Fortnightly spend on cards	(N = 392)
A few days per week	12.1		
Once a week	14.4	\$1 - \$10	21.4
Once a fortnight	7.8	\$11 - \$20	19.4
Once a month	15.6	\$21 - \$50	21.9
A few times per year	40.3	\$51 - \$100	15.8
		\$101 - \$200	6.4
Do you gamble with more than money	(N = 310)	\$201 - \$300	6.1
		\$301 - \$500	4.1
Food	4.8	More than \$500	4.8

The gambling behavior of Indigenous Australians

Alcohol	10.9
Cigarettes	11.2
Other possessions	5.8
For favours	5.2

Table 4 Percentage of respondents who engage in each form of gambling activity
(total $N = 1,244$)

Gambling activity	Valid percent	<i>N</i>
Card games	33.1	414
EGMs	67.3	837
Keno	47.3	588
Horse and dog racing	37.2	463
Sports betting	23.5	292
Bingo	24.2	301
Instant scratchies	42.8	533
Lotto/lottery-type games	40.8	508
Table games in a casino	12.2	152
Casino games on the Internet for money	8.8	109
Poker tournaments in hotel, club or casino	13.2	163

Table 5 Frequency of engagement in each form of gambling.

Gambling form	Valid <i>N</i>	Frequency of gambling (% of those who engage in the activity)						
		Nearly every day	A few days per week	Once a week	Once a fortnight	Once a month	A few times per year	At least weekly gamblers within whole sample
Card games	397	9.8	12.1	14.4	7.8	15.6	40.3	11.4
EGMs	826	6.9	14.6	18.6	13.7	18.4	27.7	26.3
Keno	578	5.0	13.0	15.2	9.5	19.0	38.2	15.2
Horse and dog racing	453	9.5	16.6	14.8	7.7	14.6	36.9	14.7
Sports betting	285	9.1	13.3	21.4	7.0	12.3	36.8	9.9
Bingo	296	3.0	9.5	19.6	8.4	15.9	43.6	7.5
Instant scratchies	518	4.2	9.3	10.6	9.3	16.4	50.2	9.9

Lotto/lottery-type games	494	2.2	7.7	17.0	11.3	15.2	46.6	10.6
Table games in a casino	147	6.8	10.2	8.8	2.7	13.6	57.8	3.0
Casino games on the Internet	107	10.3	18.7	13.1	4.7	14.0	39.3	3.6
Poker tournaments	160	8.8	18.8	14.4	3.8	12.5	41.9	5.3

Table 6 Percentage of respondents who engage in each form of gambling activity by gender (total $N = 1,167$). * < 0.05 , ** < 0.01 , *** < 0.001

Gambling activity	Males (%)	Females (%)
Card games	38.8	28.0***
EGMs	69.5	65.5
Keno	51.4	43.2**
Horse and dog racing	53.7	25.7***
Sports betting	35.2	14.4***
Bingo	16.8	28.7***
Instant scratchies	41.4	43.7
Lotto/lottery-type games	43.4	38.7
Table games in a casino	19.7	6.8***
Casino games on the Internet for money	12.5	5.9***
Poker tournaments in hotel, club or casino	18.6	8.5***